

### Attorney's Docket No.: 81862P248

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Patent Application of:

Madhu Rao et al.

Application No.: 09/921,936

Filed: August 2, 2001

Art unit: 2142

Examiner: Lin, Kelvin Y.

For: NEIGHBOR DISCOVERY USING ADDRESS REGISTRATION PROTOCOL OVER ELMI

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Date of Deposit arah M. Montgomery Name of Person Mailing Correspondence Signature

Confirmation No.: 8366

# PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 FOR WITHDRAWAL OF PREMATURE FINAL REJECTION AND RECOGNITION OF SEASONABLE PRESENTATION OF DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.131

#### Dear Sir:

In response to the Advisory Action mailed December 20, 2005, Applicant respectfully submits a petition to review the following outstanding issues:

- 1. Examiner's refusal to withdraw the premature final rejection of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005.
- 2. Examiner's refusal to enter a timely filed declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131.

Applicant's Remarks begin on page 2.

**Exhibit A** includes a copy of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005.

**Exhibit B** includes a copy of Applicant's response mailed November 28, 2005.

Exhibit C includes a copy of the Declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131.

**Exhibit D** includes a copy of the Advisory Action mailed December 20, 2005.

00000021 09921936 02/14/2006 CCHAU1

Attorney Docket No.: 81862P248

#### **REMARKS**

By way of background, the following table summarizes the communications between the Examiner and the Applicant in regard to prosecution of the present application.

DATE	ACTION	DESCRIPTION
Nov. 8, 2004	Non-Final Office Action	First rejection based on 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)
Feb. 22, 2005	Applicant's Response	Remarks: Reference is <u>not</u> prior art under §102(e)
		No amendments
Jun. 10, 2005	Final Office Action	First rejection based on 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)
		States "Application's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action, Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL."
Nov. 28, 2005	Applicant's Response	Remarks: Finality is premature
	Notice of Appeal	Declaration submitted to antedate reference
		No amendments
Dec. 20, 2005	Advisory Action	No response to prematureness of final rejection
		States "The declaration was not seasonably presented"
		Indicates proposed amendment(s) will not be entered (although no amendments)

Of particular interest in the present petition, Applicant notes that the application has never been amended. Also, Applicant notes that the rejection of the Office Action mailed February 22, 2005, was based on 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), but the subsequent rejection of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, was based on 35 U.S.C. § 102(a). Therefore, the second rejection was a new rejection issued at the discretion of the Examiner because the first rejection under § 102(e) was improper, and the second rejection was not necessitated by any amendments or other actions by the Applicant.

#### I. PREMATURE FINAL REJECTION

The designation of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, as final is premature. The M.P.E.P. clearly establishes that a rejection on a second or subsequent action on the merits cannot be final "where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement. . . ." M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a) (emphasis added). Here, the final rejection is premature because the Examiner introduced a new ground of rejection that was not necessitated by an amendment or information disclosure statement. Additionally, the issue of finality of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, is outstanding because the Examiner failed to answer the Applicant's argument that the final rejection is premature

#### A. NEW GROUND OF REJECTION

The Examiner's rejection issued on June 10, 2005, constitutes a new ground of rejection because the statutory basis for the rejection was different from the previous rejection. Although there appears to be no rule or case law directly on point, the question of whether using the same reference for rejections under different code sections is a new ground of rejection was raised in In re Recreative Techs. Corp., 83 F.3d 1394, 1398-99 (Fed. Cir. 1996), but the case was decided on other grounds. Nevertheless, other analogous cases indicate that a subsequent rejection which differs even slightly from a former rejection should be designated as a new ground of rejection. For example, the Federal Circuit recognized a new ground of rejection where different references were used to support a rejection under a single code subsection. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1052 (Fed. Cir. 1997) (a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)). The Federal Circuit also recognized a new ground of rejection where different combinations of references were used. In re McDaniel, 293 F.3d 1379, 1384-85 (Fed. Cir. 2002) (a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103). The Federal Circuit also recognized a new ground of rejection where the subsequent rejection was based on a different code section. In re Dossel, 115 F.3d 945, 944 (Fed. Cir. 1997) (the first rejections were based on §§ 101 and 102(b), but the subsequent rejection was based on § 112, second paragraph). The Federal Circuit also recognized a new ground of rejection where both rejections were based on a single code section, but with different reasoning. In re Curtis, 354 F.3d 1347, 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement followed by a new ground of rejection for insufficient written description). In a

nonprecedential decision, the Federal Circuit also recognized a new ground of rejection where a subsequent rejection was based on fewer than all of the previously cited references in a combination. In re Johenning, 1994 U.S. App. LEXIS 18048, 3-4 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on one of two references for a combination relied on for earlier rejection). Most of these cases treat the issue of new grounds of rejection in the context of judicial review of decisions from the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, and similar reasoning should apply in the prosecution of the present application.

In the present case, the Examiner issued a first rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) based on Cisco Publication: Frame Relay ELMI Address Registration, posted on December 6, 2000, at <a href="http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/product/software/ios121/121newft/121t/121t3/">http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/product/software/ios121/121newft/121t/121t3/</a>
tfipar.htm (hereinafter "Cisco Document"). As Applicant explained in response to that action, the Cisco Document is not a patent or published application and, therefore, does not qualify as a prior art reference under § 102(e). The Examiner agreed and subsequently, in the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, issued a new rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) based on the Cisco Document. The new rejection was based on § 102(a) instead of § 102(e). Given that each of these subsections provides a different statutory basis, each subsection serves as a separate ground for rejection. Moreover, the Examiner acknowledged that a new ground of rejection was issued in the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, which states, "Application's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action." Office Action, June 10, 2005, p. 8 (emphasis added). Accordingly, the Examiner's issuance of the subsequent rejection under § 102(e) is a new ground of rejection.

The Examiner purported that the finality of the Office Action was proper because the Application included amendments that necessitated a new ground of rejection. However, the Application was not amended so the new ground was <u>not</u> necessitated by any amendments to the claims. In fact, the claims of the present application have not been amended during prosecution and, in particular, were not amended in Applicant's response mailed February 22, 2005. Thus, it is inaccurate for the Examiner to state that the new rejection was necessitated by an amendment because <u>the application was never amended</u>. The Examiner failed to assert any other reason for the new ground of rejection. Moreover, there were no other reasons that would necessitate making the rejection final because Applicant did not submit an information disclosure statement.

#### B. OUTSTANDING ISSUE OF FINALITY

Additionally, the Examiner failed to answer Applicant's traversal of the finality of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005. The Examiner is required take note of Applicant's traversal argument and answer the substance of it. M.P.E.P. § 707.07(f). In response to the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, Applicant respectfully requested that the finality be removed for the reasons presented in Applicant's response mailed February 22, 2005, as described above. However, the Examiner proceeded to issue the Advisory Action mailed December 20, 2005, which failed to respond to Applicant's request to withdraw the premature finality of the rejection. Therefore, the issue of finality of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, is outstanding because the Examiner failed to answer the Applicant's argument that the final rejection is premature.

Given that the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, issued a new ground of rejection and the new ground of rejection was not necessitated by any amendments or other actions by Applicant, the finality of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, is premature. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the finality of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, be withdrawn.

#### II. SEASONABLE PRESENTATION OF DECLARATION

The declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 was seasonably presented because it was presented within the timeframe established by M.P.E.P. § 715.09. A declaration submitted under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 is considered timely if submitted 1) prior to a final rejection, or 2) after a final rejection, but before or on the same date of filing an appeal, upon a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the declaration was not earlier presented. M.P.E.P. § 715.09.

#### DECLARATION AND NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED ON SAME DATE

Applicant submitted the declaration within the timeframe established in M.P.E.P. § 715.09(C), which states that the declaration may be seasonably presented if filed after the final rejection, but before or on the same date of filing an appeal. Applicant submitted the declaration with Applicant's response mailed November 28, 2005. The Notice of Appeal was also filed on November 28, 2005. Therefore, the declaration was filed on the same date of filing an appeal, according to the requirement of M.P.E.P. § 715.09(C).

#### SHOWING OF GOOD AND SUFFICIENT REASONS

Applicant provided a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the declaration was not presented prior to the final rejection because Applicant's response mailed November 28, 2005, indicated that the finality of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, was premature. Here, the Office Action mailed November 8, 2004, improperly rejected the claims of the present application under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) based on the Cisco Document. As Applicant explained in response to that action, the Cisco Document is not a patent or published application and, therefore, does not qualify as a prior art reference under § 102(e). The Examiner agreed and subsequently, in the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, issued a new rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) based on the Cisco Document. Although the Examiner stated that the new rejection was based on amendments to the application, the Application was not amended. Therefore, the new rejection should not have been made final because it was not necessitated by Applicant's amendments, as explained above.

It is noted that Applicant's reply mailed February 22, 2005, was fully responsive. In order to be fully responsive, the "reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action." 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(b). Here, Applicant's written response mailed February 22, 2005, specifically pointed out that the rejection under § 102(e) was improper. Since the rejection under § 102(e) was the only ground of rejection, Applicant responded to every ground of rejection in the Office Action. Therefore, Applicant's notification to the Examiner that the rejection under § 102(e) was an improper rejection was fully responsive, and issuance of the improper rejection did not obligate Applicant to provide additional comments, declarations, or responses in excess of what is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(b).

It is submitted that Applicant has no duty to present evidence or reasons for patentability pertaining to code sections other than those that are part of a proper office action rejection. Here, Applicant had no duty to present a declaration in support of the patentability of the claimed invention under § 102(a) where the issued office action rejection was under § 102(e) and Applicant replied to the rejection.

Although Applicant filed the declaration in response to the subsequent rejection under § 102(a) mailed June 10, 2005, Applicant's time to file the declaration in response to the subsequent rejection was cut short by the improper prematureness of the rejection. Given that the rejection under § 102(e) was improper, Applicant relied on standard procedure and expected that any subsequent, new ground of rejection would <u>not</u> be made final. In fact, if the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, had not been improperly made final, the declaration filed would unquestionably have been seasonably presented prior to a proper final rejection under M.P.E.P. § 715.09(A). Nevertheless, Applicant seasonably presented the declaration under M.P.E.P. § 715.09(C) because Applicant filed the declaration on the same date of filing the Notice of Appeal, in connection with Applicant's request to withdraw the finality of the rejection, which satisfied the requirement for a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the declaration was not presented prior to the final rejection.

Given that Applicant filed the declaration in response to the first occurrence of a new ground of rejection which was improperly made final, and Applicant provided an explanation of why the finality of the rejection was premature, the declaration was seasonably presented under M.P.E.P. § 715.09(C). Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the declaration be recognized as seasonably presented.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons stated above, the finality of the Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, should be withdrawn and the declaration mailed November 28, 2005, should be recognized as seasonably presented. Consequently, Appellant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1-81 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by the Cisco Document should be withdrawn.

Applicant understands this petition to be timely filed within two months of the mailing date of the action from which relief is requested, as required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.181(f) and M.P.E.P. § 1002. Specifically, this petition is filed within two months of the mailing date of the Advisory Action mailed December 20, 2005. If there are any additional charges or refunds, please charge or refund them to Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: 2 10 06

Jeffrey 7. Holman

Reg. No. 51,812

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025 (408) 720-8300

Application No.: 09/921,936

United States Patent and Trademark Office UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FEB 1 3 2006 P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/921,936 08/02/2001 Madhu Rao 81862P248 8366 7590 06/10/2005 **EXAMINER** Stephen T. Neal LIN, KELVIN Y BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP ART UNIT Seventh Floor PAPER NUMBER 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 2142 Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

JUN 1 3 2005

## BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP LOS ANGELES

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Date 9/10/2005 Client: Cisco Technology, Inc.  Docket Initials 81862.P248  Dock. Sup. Initials  Atty Initials LJV DEO  Pat/Ser/Reg 921936  Description: 3 x  Response due final OA and possible appeal	Date 8/10/2005 Client: Cisco Technology, Inc.  Docket Initials 81862.P248  Dock. Sup. Initials LJV DEO  Pat/Ser/Reg 921936  Description: 4  Two months since final oa was mailed
6/13/2005 John Pliskaner 422103	6/13/2005 John Pliskaner 422104
Date 9/10/2005 Client: Cisco Technology, Inc.  Docket Initials 81862.P248	Date 9/3/2005 Client: Cisco Technology, Inc.  Docket Initials 381862.P248
Dock. Sup. Initials  Atty Initials LJV DEO	Dock. Sup. Initials  Atty Initials  LIV DEO
Pat/Ser/Reg 921936  Description:  If advisory action or notice of allowance not received by today, review file	Pat/Ser/Reg 921936  Description: 156  Reminder, review file for possible filing of continuation application if
for possible filing of continuation application.  6/13/2005 John Pliskaner 422105	advisory action or notice of allowance not received by 9/10/2005.  6/13/2005 John Pliskaner 422106

STATU

STATUS REPORT

		<u>,</u>		
OTPE	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
<b>1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3</b>	09/921,936	RAO ET AL.		
Office Action SummarifEB 1 3 2006	Examiner	Art Unit		
The state of the s	Kelvin Lin	2142		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 O				
22/23 11110 401011111 1111	action is non-final.	the state of the state of		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-81 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
		·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ndosity under 25 LLC C & 110/a	) (d) or (f)		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)	_			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:			
LIS Patent and Trademark Office	,			

Art Unit: 2142

# **Detailed Action**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this Section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- Claims 1-81 are rejected under 35 USC 102(a) as being anticipated by Cisco
   Document (Cisco Publication: Frame Relay ELMI Address Registration, Posted
   On Dec. 6, 2000:

http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/product/software/ios121/121newft/121t/121t3/tf ripar.htm ).

- 3. Regarding claim 1, Cisco teaches a system, comprising:
  - a local area network management system to manage and configure a network of routers (Cisco, Sec. of Feature Overview "... the NMS can detect switch and router ...");
  - a wide area network management system to manage and configure
    a network of switches (Cisco, Sec. of Feature Overview "... the
     NMS can detect switch and router ..."); and
  - address registration information to be appended to a message sent
     between a first router of the network of routers and a first switch of

Art Unit: 2142

the network of switches over a connection between the first router and the first switch (Cisco, Fig. 1, shows a typical network in which ELMI address registration is in use).

- 4. Regarding claim 2, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the address registration information comprises an interface index (Cisco, Sec. of Feature Overview, "... The NMS uses the MIB to extract the IP address and ifIndex of devices neighboring the managed device ...").
- 5. Regarding claim 3, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 2, wherein the interface index comprises a slot number from which the appended message was sent (Cisco, Sec. of Prerequisties, "ELMI must be enabled on the Cisco router and Cisco switch, which configures the slot number in the interface index. ").
- 6. Regarding claim 4, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 2, wherein the interface index comprises a port number from which the appended message was sent (Cisco, Sec. of Prerequisties, " ELMI must be enabled on the Cisco router and Cisco switch, which configures the port number in the interface index. ").
- 7. Regarding claim 5, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the address registration information comprises an Interne Protocol address (Cisco, Sec. of Feature Overview, "The Cisco Frame Relay MIB has been enhanced to support the new ELMI information. The NMS uses the MIB to extract the IP address and ifIndex of devices neighboring the managed. ").
- 8. Regarding claim 6, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the address registration information comprises spare bytes. (Cisco, Sec. of

Art Unit: 2142

Configuration Task, "... the following Sections for configuration tasks for the Frame Relay ELMI Address Registration feature. Each task in the list is identified as optional or required - which means the optional filed can be used as a spare byte. ").

- 9. Regarding claim 7, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the router sends the appended message (Cisco, Sec. of Usage Guidelines, "... the first line describes the LMI request that the router has sent to ..." & ".. you can use this command to determine whether the router and the (Frame Relay switch) are sending and receiving LMI packets properly...").
- 10. Regarding claim 8, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the switch sends the appended message (Cisco, Sec. of Usage Guidelines, "...the Second line describes the LMI reply that the router has received from the switch ..." and "...you can use this command to determine whether (the router) and the Frame Relay switch are sending and receiving LMI packets properly..").
- 11. Regarding claim 9, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the appended message is an enhanced local management interface message (Cisco, Sec. of Future Review, "...the Second line describes the LMI reply that the router has received from the switch ...").
- 12. Regarding claim 10, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the appended message is sent when the network of switches and the network of routers are first configured (Cisco, Fig. 1, "... the first switch and router are first configured, and also according to sec. of prerequisites, ELMI must be enables on

Art Unit: 2142

the Cisco router and the Cisco switch").

- 13. Regarding claim 11, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the appended message is sent when the network of switches or the network of routers has a change in configuration (Cisco, Sec. of Future Overview, "... When the management IP address of the switch changes, an asynchronous ELMI version status message is sent to the neighboring device immediately..").
- 14. Regarding claim 12, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the appended message is sent at a regular interval (Cisco, Sec. of Future Overview, "...the NMS 'polls' the devices to collect this connectivity information..").
- 15. Regarding claim 13, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the local area network management system uses the address registration information to map the network of switches (Cisco, Sec. of Feature Overview, "With the Frame Relay ELMI Address Registration feature, the NMS can detect switch and router interconnection and create an end-to-end network topology map for network administrators", Table 2, "... yourseen counter maps to the LAST RCVD SEQ counter of the switch...").
- 16. Regarding claim 14, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the local area network management system configures the network of switches (Cisco, sec. of Prerequisites " ELMI must be enabled (configured) on the Cisco switch").
- 17. Regarding claim 15, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the wide area network management system uses the address registration information

Art Unit: 2142

to map the network of routers (Cisco, Sec. of Feature Overview, "With the Frame Relay ELMI Address Registration feature, the NMS can detect switch and router interconnection and create an end-to-end network topology map for network administrators").

- 18. Regarding claim 16, Cisco further discloses the system of claim 15, wherein the wide area network management system configures the network of routers (Cisco, Sec. of Configuring the IP Address to Be Used for ELMI Address Registration Configuration, "... because no other IP address was configured, the router will share an IP address of 0.0.0.0 and a valid ifIndex.. ").
- 19. Regarding claims 17-32, have similar limitations as claims 1-16, which also Involved in the switches, routers in the system that makes the interconnectivity including the LAN and WAN. Therefore, claims 17-32 are rejected under Cisco for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-16.
- 20. Regarding claims 33-48, have similar limitations as claims 1-16, which are also Embedded the instruction codes (e.g. CLI) in the switches, and routers.
  Therefore, claims 33-48 are rejected under Cisco for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-16.
- 21. Regarding claims 49-64, the means function that have WAN. LAN, NMS, CLI EMLI, UFM, and appended messages have similar limitations as claims 1-16, Therefore, claims 49-64 are rejected under Cisco for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-16.

Art Unit: 2142

22. Regarding claims 65-80, the devices of router, and switch that send appended message from router or switch, have similar limitations as claims 1-16,

Therefore, claims 65-80 are rejected under Cisco for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-16.

- 23. Regarding claim 81, Cisco further discloses a method, comprising:
  - appending address registration information to a message (Cisco, Sec. of Configuration Examples, "Configuration the IP address to be used for ELMI address registration configuration The following example shows how to configure the IP address to be used for ELMI address registration. Automatic IP address selection is automatically disabled when the IP address is configured. ELMI is enabled on serial interface 0.");
  - sending the message between a router of a router network and a switch of a switch network (Cisco, fig. 1);
  - using the address registration information to map the router
    network from a wide area network management system controlling
    the switch network (Cisco, Sec. of Feature Overview, "With the
    Frame Relay ELMI Address Registration feature, the NMS can
    detect switch and router interconnection and create an end-to-end
    network topology "map" for network administrators");
  - configuring the router network using the wide area network
     management system (Cisco, sec. of Benefits, "...using the ELMI

Art Unit: 2142

protocol and an enhanced MIB, an NMS can now detect connectivity among the "switches" and routers in a network. This new functionality allows for autodetection of the complete network topology.");

using the address registration information to map the switch network from a local configuring the switch network using the local area network management system. (Cisco, sec. of Benefits, "...using the ELMI protocol and an enhanced MIB, an NMS can now detect connectivity among the switches and "routers" in a network. This new functionality allows for autodetection of the complete network topology.");

#### Conclusion

Application's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action, Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MEPE 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first replay is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTH from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelvin Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-3898. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible 4/9/5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KYL 6/06/05

KAMINI SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

# Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No. 09/921,936

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination RAO ET AL.

Examiner Kelvin Lin Art Unit 2142

Page 1 of 1

#### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,597,689	07-2003	Chiu et al.	370/354
	В	US-6,678,474	01-2004	Masuda et al.	398/75
	С	US-		1/20	
	D	US-		1 3 7006	
	Е	US-		PADEMINA	
	F	US-		TRADEMATT	
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Ρ					
	Q					
	R	·				
	s					
	т					,

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	Cisco Documentation - Frame Relay ELMI Address Registration: Post on Dec. 6,2000, at     http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/product/software/ios121/121newft/121t/121t3/tfripar.htm
	٧	
	w	
	х	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Attorney's Docket No. 81862.P248

Patent

# Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 — Expedited Procedure — Examining Group 2142

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Madhu Rao et al.

Serial No. 09/921,936

Filed: August 2, 2001

For: NEIGHBOR DISCOVERY USING

ADDRESS REGISTRATION PROTOCOL OVER ELMI

EXAMINER: LIN, KELVIN Y.

**ART UNIT: 2142** 

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

# RESPONSE AFTER FINAL ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, applicants respectfully request the Examiner to consider the following remarks:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims that begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 15 of this paper.

#### IN THE CLAIMS

1. (Original) A system, comprising:

a local area network management system to manage and configure a network of routers;

a wide area network management system to manage and configure a network of switches; and

address registration information to be appended to a message sent between a first router of the network of routers and a first switch of the network of switches over a connection between the first router and the first switch.

- 2. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the address registration information comprises an interface index.
- 3. (Original) The system of claim 2, wherein the interface index comprises a slot number from which the appended message was sent.
- 4. (Original) The system of claim 2, wherein the interface index comprises a port number from which the appended message was sent.
- 5. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the address registration information comprises an Internet Protocol address.

- 6. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the address registration information comprises spare bytes.
- 7. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the router sends the appended message.
- 8. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the switch sends the appended message.
- 9. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the appended message is an enhanced local management interface message.
- 10. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the appended message is sent when the network of switches and the network of routers are first configured.
- 11. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the appended message is sent when the network of switches or the network of routers has a change in configuration.
- 12. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the appended message is sent at a regular interval.
- 13. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the local area network management system uses the address registration information to map the network of switches.

Attorney Docket No.: 81862.P248

- 14. (Original) The system of claim 13, wherein the local area network management system configures the network of switches.
- 15. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the wide area network management system uses the address registration information to map the network of routers.
- 16. (Original) The system of claim 15, wherein the wide area network management system configures the network of routers
- 17. (Original) A method, comprising:

  appending address registration information to a message; and
  sending the message between a router of a router network and a switch of a switch
  network.
- 18. (Original) The method of claim 17, further comprising using the address registration information to map the router network from a wide area network management system controlling the switch network.
- 19. (Original) The method of claim 18, further comprising configuring the router network using the wide area network management system.

- 20. (Original) The method of claim 17, further comprising using the address registration information to map the switch network from a local area network management system controlling the router network.
- 21. (Original) The method of claim 20, further comprising configuring the switch network using the local area network management system.
- 22. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the address registration information comprises an Internet Protocol address.
- 23. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the address registration information comprises an interface index.
- 24. (Original) The method of claim 23, wherein the interface index comprises a slot number from which the appended message was sent.
- 25. (Original) The method of claim 23, wherein the interface index comprises a port number from which the appended message was sent.
- 26. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the address registration information comprises spare bytes.

- 27. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the router sends the appended message.
- 28. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the switch sends the appended message.
- 29. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the appended message is an enhanced local management interface message.
- 30. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the appended message is sent when the network of switches and the network of routers are first configured.
- 31. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the appended message is sent when the network of switches or the network of routers has a change in configuration.
- 32. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the appended message is sent at a regular interval.
- 33. (Original) A machine-readable storage medium tangibly embodying a sequence of instructions executable by the machine to perform a method comprising:

appending address registration information to a message; and sending the message between a router of a router network and a switch of a switch network.

- 34. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, further comprising using the address registration information to map the router network from a wide area network management system controlling the switch network.
- 35. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 34, further comprising configuring the router network using the wide area network management system.
- 36. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, further comprising using the address registration information to map the switch network from a local area network management system controlling the router network.
- 37. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 36, further comprising configuring the switch network using the local area network management system.
- 38. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, wherein the address registration information comprises an Internet Protocol address.
- 39. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, wherein the address registration information comprises an interface index.
- 40. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 39, wherein the interface index comprises a slot number from which the appended message was sent.

Application No.: 09/921,936 7 Attorney Docket No.: 81862.P248

- 41. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 39, wherein the interface index comprises a port number from which the appended message was sent.
- 42. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, wherein the address registration information comprises spare bytes.
- 43. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, wherein the router sends the appended message.
- 44. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, wherein the switch sends the appended message.
- 45. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, wherein the appended message is an enhanced local management interface message.
- 46. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, wherein the appended message is sent when the network of switches and the network of routers are first configured.
- 47. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, wherein the appended message is sent when the network of switches or the network of routers has a change in configuration.

- 48. (Original) The machine-readable storage medium of claim 33, wherein the appended message is sent at a regular interval.
- 49. (Original) A system, comprising:
  a means for appending address registration information to a message; and
  a means for sending the message between a router of a router network and a
  switch of a switch network.
- 50. (Original) The system of claim 49, further comprising a means for using the address registration information to map the router network from a wide area network management system controlling the switch network.
- 51. (Original) The system of claim 50, further comprising a means for configuring the router network using the wide area network management system.
- 52. (Original) The system of claim 49, further comprising a means for using the address registration information to map the switch network from a local area network management system controlling the router network.
- 53. (Original) The system of claim 52, further comprising a means for configuring the switch network using the local area network management system.

- 54. (Original) The system of claim 49, wherein the address registration information comprises an Internet Protocol address.
- 55. (Original) The system of claim 49, wherein the address registration information comprises an interface index.
- 56. (Original) The system of claim 55, wherein the interface index comprises a slot number from which the appended message was sent.
- 57. (Original) The system of claim 55, wherein the interface index comprises a port number from which the appended message was sent.
- 58. (Original) The system of claim 49, wherein the address registration information comprises spare bytes.
- 59. (Original) The system of claim 49, wherein the router sends the appended message.
- 60. (Original) The system of claim 49, wherein the switch sends the appended message.
- 61. (Original) The system of claim 49, wherein the appended message is an enhanced local management interface message.

- 62. (Original) The system of claim 49, wherein the appended message is sent when the network of switches and the network of routers are first configured.
- 63. (Original) The system of claim 49, wherein the appended message is sent when the network of switches or the network of routers has a change in configuration.
- 64. (Original) The system of claim 49, wherein the appended message is sent at a regular interval.
- 65. (Original) A router, comprising:

  a routing unit to send a message to a first switch of a network of switches;

  a connection to connect the routing unit to the first switch; and

  an interface to append an address registration information to the message.
- 66. (Original) The router of claim 65, wherein the address registration information comprises an interface index.
- 67. (Original) The router of claim 66, wherein the interface index comprises a slot number from which the appended message was sent.
- 68. (Original) The router of claim 66, wherein the interface index comprises a port number from which the appended message was sent.

Attorney Docket No.: 81862.P248

- 69. (Original) The router of claim 65, wherein the address registration information comprises an Internet Protocol address.
- 70. (Original) The router of claim 65, wherein the address registration information comprises spare bytes.
- 71. (Original) The router of claim 65, wherein the appended message is an enhancement local management interface message.
- 72. (Original) The router of claim 65, wherein the appended message is sent at a regular interval.
- 73. (Original) A switch, comprising:

  a switching unit to send a message to a first router of a network of routers;

  a connection to connect the switching unit to the first router; and

  an interface to append an address registration information to the message.
- 74. (Original) The switch of claim 73, wherein the address registration information comprises an interface index.
- 75. (Original) The switch of claim 74, wherein the interface index comprises a slot number from which the appended message was sent.

Attorney Docket No.: 81862.P248

- 76. (Original) The switch of claim 74, wherein the interface index comprises a port number from which the appended message was sent.
- 77. (Original) The switch of claim 73, wherein the address registration information comprises an Internet Protocol address.
- 78. (Original) The switch of claim 73, wherein the address registration information comprises spare bytes.
- 79. (Original) The switch of claim 73, wherein the appended message is an enhancement local management interface message.
- 80. (Original) The switch of claim 73, wherein the appended message is sent at a regular interval.
- 81. (Original) A method, comprising:

appending address registration information to a message;

sending the message between a router of a router network and a switch of a switch network;

using the address registration information to map the router network from a wide area network management system controlling the switch network;

configuring the router network using the wide area network management system;

using the address registration information to map the switch network form a local area network management system controlling the router network; and configuring the switch network using the local area network management system.

#### **REMARKS**

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the application. As a first preliminary matter, the Office Action asserted:

Application's amendment necessitated the now ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office Action, Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS FINAL.

(Office Action, page 8)

It is submitted that Applicants did <u>not make any amendments</u> and, therefore, the finality of the Office Action is improper. Accordingly, it is requested that the finality of the Office Action be removed.

As another preliminary matter, in the Final Office Action mailed June 10, 2005, the Examiner did not attach an initialed copy of the PTO-1449 form references that were mailed to the PTO on February 22, 2005. The Examiner also did not indicate the references on said PTO-1449 form were not in conformance with MPEP 609. As such, applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner indicate that these references have been considered and made of record.

# Office Action Rejections Summary

Claims 1-81 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by Cisco Document (Cisco Publication: Frame Relay ELMI Address Registration, Posted on December 6, 2000:

http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/product/software/ios121/121newft/121t/121t3/t fripar.htm) ("Cisco").

# Status of Claims

Claims 1-81 are pending in the application. No claims have been amended. No claims have been added. No new matter has been added. No claims have been canceled.

## Claim Rejections

Claims 1-81 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by Cisco Document. Cisco Document qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) because Cisco Document's alleged effective date of December 6, 2000 is earlier than the applicants' filing date of August 2, 2001. Applicants are submitting herewith a Declaration under 37 C.F.R. §131 of the inventor Madhu Rao to establish prior invention of the claimed subject matter of the present application prior to December 6, 2000. The Declaration sets forth facts sufficient to show reduction to practice of the invention prior to the Cisco Document's alleged effective date of December 6, 2000. In particular, Exhibit 1, to the Declaration of the Inventor Madhu Rao, is a copy of screen images from debug sessions that capture the ELMI-AR protocol data in a running session which illustrates aspects of the invention embodied within a Cisco router product that implements the ELMI-AR protocol. As evidenced by the screen images, the Cisco router product supporting the ELMI-AR protocol was completed and operational prior to December 6, 2000. The dates in Exhibit 1 have been redacted as permitted by MPEP 715.07.II.

Therefore, Cisco Document is not available as prior art under 35 U.S.C. §102(a). Accordingly, it is requested that the rejection of claims 1-81 under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by Cisco Document be withdrawn.

In conclusion, applicants respectfully submit that in view of the remarks set forth herein, the applicable rejections have been overcome.

If the Examiner believes a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact Daniel Ovanezian at (408) 720-8300.

If there are any additional ch	arges, please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-
2666.	Respectfully submitted, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Dated: <u>11/28</u> , 2005	Daniel E. Ovanezian Registration No. 41,236
12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 (408) 720-8300	
FIRST CLA	SS CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to M Virginia 22313-1450.  On	g deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with fail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria,
Name of Person Mailing Correspondence  Signature	11/28/05 Date



Atty. Docket No. 81862.P248

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Kelvin Y.

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## DECLARATION OF PRIOR INVENTION IN THE UNITED STATES TO OVERCOME CITED PUBLICATION (37 C.F.R. §1.131)

Sir:

My name is Madhu Rao. I have been employed by Cisco Technology, Inc. of San Jose, California from May 25, 1999 through the present.

I am one of the inventors of the above-identified patent application that has been assigned to Cisco Technology, Inc. The declaration made herein is to establish a completion of the invention in the application in the United States at a date prior to December 6, 2000, which is the cited publication date of the paper entitled "Cisco Publication: Frame Relay ELMI Address Registration" by Cisco, posted on December 6, 2000 (the "Cisco Document").

I hereby declare that my invention was reduced to practice prior to December 6, 2000. Below stated are activities of myself and Cisco Technology, Inc. regarding the date on which the invention was reduced to practice.

My invention is embodied in a Cisco router product that implements the ELMI-AR protocol. Prior to December 6, 2000, I developed and tested a working version of the

product comprising my invention. Thus, my invention was reduced to practice prior to December 6, 2000.

Exhibit 1 attached herewith is a copy of screen images from debug sessions that capture the ELMI-AR protocol data in a running session that illustrates aspects of the invention embodied within the product. The dates in Exhibit 1 have been redacted. As evidenced by the screen image, the Cisco router product supporting the ELMI-AR protocol was completed and operational prior to December 6, 2000.

Based on the above description and as evidenced by the attached exhibit, the reduction to practice of the subject matter described in the present application was prior to the December 6, 2000 publication date of the Cisco Document.

As the below-signed invention, I, Madhu Rao, hereby declare that all statements herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements are made knowing that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both under §1001 of Title 18 of United States Code, and such willful or false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

Respectfully submitted

Date: 11-22-2005	Signature	J.,
		ne: Madhu Rao USA 1360 Tanaka Dr San Jose, CA 95131
Lhoroby cortify that this correspond	tence is being dep an envelope add	TIFICATE OF MAILING posited with the United States Postal Service as first ressed to Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,
JUANITA BRISCO Name of Person Mailing Com Manual Signature		11/28/05 Date

2

Application No. 09/921,936

Attorney Docket No. 81862.P248



bot	t		TN	Cisco		IGX	843	30 9.	-			
	Front	Card	BackC	ard				Front	Card	BackCard		
	Type	Rev	Type	Rev	Status			Type	Rev	Type	Rev	Status
1	NPM	BTN			Standby		9	Empty				
2	NPM	KMS			Active		10	Empty				
3			ersal l	ackplan	•		11	Empty				
Ā	UXIM		Т3	AA	Active		12	Empty				
-	UPMU		RSSI	AA	Standby		13	Empty				
6	UPMU		HSSI	AA	Active		14	Empty				
7	FRM	_	PRI-BI		Mismatch			Empty				
8	UPM	ARN	B1D	AB	Active			Empty				

Last Command: dspcds

bot	TN	Cisco	IGX 8430	9.3.y4		Ā
Port 1	Neighbor Dis		7-14-000		IfIndex	
Port	Enable	State	IpAddress			
5.1	Yes	ACTIVE	2.2.2.2		10	
		ACTIVE	0.0.0.0		0	
5.2	Yes		0.0.0.0		0	
5.3	No	INACTIVE	•		-	
5.4	No	INACTIVE	0.0.0.0		0	

Last Command: dspnebdisc

bot	TN	Cisco	I	GX 8430	9.3.y4			
Port: Interface: Clocking: Neighbor IP Port ID Port Queue T DE Threshol Signalling Asynchronou T392 Pollin N392 Error N393 Monito Communicate Upper/Lower	Depth hreshold Protocol s Status g Verif ' Threshold red Even Priorit	Cisc Timer d ts Count Y	0 65535 65535 100 % 0 LMI -E NO 15 3 4 NO	Neighbo Min Fla OAM Pkt T391 Li N391 Fu EFCI Ma CLLM En IDE to Interfa Lead Stat	onfigured leasured l or IfInder gs / France Thresholm nk Intg : ill Status upping Ens labled/Tx DE Mappin ce Contro CA	Rx Clock: c: 10 nes ld fimer s Poll abled Timer ig ol Templa	1024 1 3 10 6 No No/ 0 Yes	Kbps Kbps pkts sec cyl msec

Last Command: dspport 5.1

bot	TN	Cisco	1	GX 8430 9.3.y4	
Port:	5.1		[ACTIVE	] Secondary	
Interface:	HSSI	DCE		Configured Clock:	1024 Kbps
Clocking:	Normal			Measured Rx Clock:	1024 Kbps
Neighbor IP		.2.2		Neighbor IfIndex: 10	
Port ID			0	Min Flags / Frames	1
Port Queue	Danth		65535	OAM Pkt Threshold	3 pkts
BCN Queue T			65535	T391 Link Intg Timer	10 sec
DE Threshol			100 %	N391 Full Status Poll	6 cyl
Signalling		Cisc	o LMI -B	RFCI Mapping Enabled	No
Asynchronou			No	CLLM Enabled/Tx Timer	No/ 0 msec
T392 Pollin		imer	15	IDE to DE Mapping	Yes
N392 Error			3	Interface Control Templat	te
N393 Monito			4	Lead CA	
Communicate			No	State ON	
Upper/Lower			/ 25%	Neighbor Discovery D:	isable

Last Command: cnfport 5.1 DCE 1024 NORMAL 0 65535 65535 100 s N 15 3 4 N 75 25 3 N N Y 1

elmi3600# show frame-realy qos-autosense

BLMI information for interface Hssil/0

IP Address used for Address Registration:2.2.2.2 My Ifindex:10 ELMI AR Status:Enabled Connected to switch:SWITCH Platform:IGX Vendor:CISCO

ELMI AR Status: Enabled

IP Address used by switch for address registration:192.0.0.0 Ifindex:5000000 (Time elapsed since last update 00:14:09)

elmi3600# show frame-realy qos-autosense

BLMI information for interface Hssil/0

IP Address used for Address Registration:2.2.2.2 My Ifindex:10 ELMI AR Status:Enabled Connected to switch:SWITCH Platform:IGX Vendor:CISCO

RLMI AR Status: Enabled

IP Address used by switch for address registration:172.28.148.112 Ifindex:5000000 (Time elapsed since last update 00:00:40)

elmi3600#show frame-relay qos-autosense

ELMI information for interface Hssil/0

IP Address used for Address Registration:2.2.2.2 My Ifindex:10 ELMI AR Status:Enabled Connected to switch:SWITCH Platform:IGX Vendor:CISCO

ELMI AR Status: Disabled

IP Address used by switch for address registration:0.0.0.0 Ifindex:0 (Time elapsed since last update 00:01:46)

elmi3600# conf t elmi3600(config)# interface serial or hssi 2/1 elmi3600(config-if) no frame-relay address-reg enable

United State Patent and Trademark Office UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov FEB 1 3 2006 APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/921,936 08/02/2001 Madhu Rao 81862P248 8366 7590 12/20/2005 **EXAMINER** Stephen T. Neal LIN, KELVIN Y BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 2142 Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005 DEC 23 2005

> BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOFF & ZAFMAN LLP LOS ANGELES

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Previously Docketed

appeal halef

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No. OTPE	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/921,936	RAO ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner FEB 1 3 2006	Art Unit					
	Kelvin Lin	2142					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		<u>/ </u>					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		·					
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, itice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	affidavit, or other evidence, which n compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in t n SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	he final rejection, whichever is later. In no of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a bris	of will not be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NC	OTE below):					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	<b>/</b> );						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>		, timely filed amendment canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).	Z will make a ambanad and b	201					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ded below or appended.	ill be entered and an explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-81</u> .							
Claim/a) with drawn from consideration; none		nted ©					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The declaration was	not secsonably presen						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will not be entered					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F	TO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s). 0225 Z005 (AC)					
13. Other:	Conshell	Caldwell					
		CALDWELL PATENT EXAMINER					

				——————————————————————————————————————	T					
	for Form 144			Complete i	f Known					
	NFO	RMA	ATION DISCLOSUF	Application Number	09/921,936					
101	E	<b></b>	ENT DV ADDI 10 AV	T &	Filing Date	8/2/01				
/	THAT !		ENT BY APPLICAN	FEB 1 3 2006 \$	First Named Inventor:	Madhu Rao				
KEB 2	\$ 2005/	"(use a	is many sheets as necessary)	FED 1 9 7000	Art Unit	2142				
13 1			\rightarrow \right		Examiner Name	Lin, Kelvin Y.				
Shee	1.84		of	RADEMAN	Attorney Docket Number	81862.P248				
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS									
Examiner	Cite No.	1	0.00.777.00			· _				
Initials*	CILE INU.	1	Consument Number	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines				
muais			Document Number	MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Where Relevant				
	1	1			·	Passages or Relevant				
		Num	ber-Kind Code <sup>2</sup> (If known)			Figures Appear				
<del>//</del>	<del> </del>	US-	6 775 272	0/40/04						
<i>llf</i>	<del> </del>		6,775,373	8/10/04	Stoughton					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ļ	US-	6,753,980	6/22/04	Sakakura et al.					
		US-	6,684,243	1/27/04	Euget et al.					
		US-	6,667,974	12/23/03	Shigeta					
		us-	6,665,713	12/16/03	Hada et al.					
		US-	6,661,785	12/9/03	Zhang et al.					
		US-	6,639,901	10/28/03	Katzri et al.					
		US-	6,567,851	5/20/03	Kobayashi					
		US-	6,560,217	5/6/03	Peirce, Jr. et al.					
		US-	6,457,044	9/24/02	lwaZaki	***************************************				
		US-	6,418,219	7/9/02	Planelid et al.					
	<u> </u>	US-	6,373,853	4/16/02	Yoshida					
	<u>-</u>	US-	6,230,009	5/8/01	Holmes et al.					
- 4		US-	6,195,329	2/27/01	Kawashima					
M.		US-	5,978,376	11/2/99	Godse et al.					

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS									
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.¹	Foreign Patent Document  Country Code <sup>3</sup> Number <sup>4</sup> Kind Code <sup>5</sup> (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T۰				

Examiner		K	RJ	-	Date Considered	
Signature	/	IN			12/12/01	1
				<del></del>		

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. 'Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 'See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at <a href="https://www.uspto.gov">www.uspto.gov</a> or MPEP 901.04. 'Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). 'For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 'Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. 'Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SENT FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

Substitute for Form 1449/P	го	Comple					
INFORM	NATION DISCLOSUREPE	Application Number					
1 / M'' & \. 11 1							
~~~~\ <b>BTA</b> TEN	77 TATEMENT BY APPLICANT 3						
1 FR \$ 512005 in (us	e as many sheets as necessary) ( FEB 1 3 2006 🗒	Art Unit					
2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Examiner Name					
Street 2	of h4	Attorney Docket Number					
THAT CO	AND						

Complete if Known					
Application Number	09/921,936				
Filing Date	8/2/01				
First Named Inventor:	Madhu Rao				
Art Unit	2142				
Examiner Name	Lin, Kelvin Y.				
Attorney Docket Number	81862.P248				

Date Considered

		U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.		Document Number	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant		
, ,		Numbe	er-Kind Code <sup>2</sup> (# known)			Figures Appear		
	., ., .	US-	5,862,083	1/19/99	Tobita et al.			
		US-	5,608,871	3/4/97	Murono			
		US-	5,325,357	6/28/94	Kimoto et al.			
		US-	5,315,705	5/24/94	lwami et al.			
		US-	5,206,937	4/27/93	Goto			
		US-	5,140,585	8/18/92	Tomikawa			
		US-	4,780,815	10/25/88	Shiota			
		US-	20030219000	11/27/03	Magret			
		US-	20030165140	9/4/03	Tang			
		US-	20020080752	6/27/02	Johansson			
		US-	20020024945	2/28/02	Civanlar et al.			
		US-	20020023174	2/21/02	Garrett et al.			
		US-	20020023160	2/21/02	Garrett et al.			
111		US-	20020018489	2/14/02	Ambe et al.			
h		US-	20020018476	2/14/02	Roy			

		FO	REIGN PATENT	DOCUMENTS		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Foreign Patent Document  Country Code <sup>3</sup> Number <sup>4</sup> Kind Code <sup>5</sup> (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T°

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPER-609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 5Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. <sup>6</sup>Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SENT FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

Examiner

Signature

translation is attached.

Substitute	for Form 144	9/PTO			Complete i	f Known
INFORMATION DISCLOSURED TPE					Application Number	09/921,936
	_		/	<u></u>	Filing Date	8/2/01
OIP	E STATI	EMI	ENT BY APPLICAN's many sheets as necessary)	T &	First Named Inventor:	Madhu Rao
1/	an <b>iel</b> ti.	yuse a	s many sheets as necessary)	FEB 1 3 2006	Art Unit	2142
EEB 2 5	Sopra (2)		· (3)	<i>رچ</i>	Examiner Name	Lin, Kelvin Y.
Sixteen )	P3 &		of	TRANSPORT OF	Attorney Docket Number	81862.P248
//Sect	13.67		J OI	The state of the s	Takemey Beaker Hember	01002.1240
UN B TRAC	EVAL		U.S. PATEN	T DOCUMENTS		
Examiner	Cite No.		Document Number	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant
Initials*		<b>-</b>	Document Number	IAIIA-DO-1111	Applicant of Oited Document	Passages or Relevant
_		Num	ber-Kind Code <sup>2</sup> (If known)			Figures Appear
1.1		US-	20020016858	2/7/02	Sawada et al.	
100		US-	20020013844	1/31/02	Garrett et al.	
		US-	20020012585	1/31/02	Kalkunte et al.	
		US-	20020012345	1/31/02	Kalkunte et al.	
		US-	20020010791	1/24/02	Kalkunte et al.	
7.		US-	20020009090	1/24/02	Kalkunte et al.	
$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$		US-	20020009084	1/24/02	Kalkunte	
		US-				
		US-				
		US-				
		US-				
		US-				
		US-				
		UŞ-				
		US-				

		FO	REIGN PATENT	DOCUMENTS		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Foreign Patent Document  Country Code <sup>3</sup> Number <sup>4</sup> Kind Code <sup>5</sup> (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Τ°

Examiner	KIN	Date Considered
Signature		12/2/01

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. 'Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 'See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at <a href="https://www.uspto.gov">www.uspto.gov</a> or MPEP 901.04. 'Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). 'For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 'Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. 'Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SENT FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

ubstitute f	or Form 14	49/PTO	RATE TO A PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	Con	nplete if Known
INFO	RMAT	ION DISC	LOSURE	Application Number	09/921,936
				Filing Date	8/2/01
SIA	KENE	AL BA Ab	PLICANT	First Named Inventor:	Madhu Rao
FEB 1	às m	any sheets as nece	ssary)	Art Unit	2142
77 20	5 ×			Examiner Name	Lin, Kelvin Y.
heet	4 25.	of	4	Attorney Docket Number	81862.P248
MADENAR			NON PATENT LI	TERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No <sup>1</sup>	item (book,	magazine, journal, s number(s), put	erial, symposium, catalog, etc.), plisher, city and/or country where	published
SI		The ATM Forur Specification", \	n Technical Comm /ersion 4.0, af-ilmi	nittee, "Integrated Local Mana -0065.000, September 1996.	gement Interface (ILMI)
1					·
•					
		,			
			×		
ļ					
<u>_</u>		<u> </u>		-	
	<u></u>			0	

\*Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 889. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup>Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a check mark here if English Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SENT FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.